

**Article Info** 

Received: 25 Jan 2017 | Revised Submission: 20 Feb 2017 | Accepted: 28 Feb 2017 | Available Online: 15 Jun 2017

## An Experimental Study to Achieve Minimum Surface Roughness While Machining P20 Tool Steel Using Wire EDM

Anmol Bhatia<sup>\*</sup>, Gagan Vijay Singh<sup>\*\*</sup>, Anmol Madaan<sup>\*\*\*</sup> and Akansha Bhatia<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>

## ABSTRACT

There is a rapid increase in the usage of hard materials. The conventional machining process has been left behind by complex and intricate part machining. Such complex machining of hard materials via conventional machining methods does often lead to poor quality characteristics such as low surface roughness. Thus, to achieve the goal of better quality characteristics while machining hard materials, non-conventional machining processes come into play. Wire Electric Discharge Machining (Wire-EDM), is an example of such nonconventional machining processes. This paper highlights a research analysis on parametric optimization of Wire EDM process while machining P20 tool steel. It deals with the optimization of parameters namely Pulse on time, Pulse off time, Peak current and Wire Tension so as to obtain low surface roughness. Taguchi's design of experiments and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) has been used for the optimization purpose and L<sub>9</sub> orthogonal array has been used for the same. Furthermore, it was found that Pulse off time and Peak Current were the most significant factors, followed by Pulse on time and Wire Tension.

Keywords: Wire EDM; Taguchi; ANOVA; Orthogonal Array.

### **1.0 Introduction**

Wire EDM is a non conventional machining process, which is based on the thermo-electrical energy principle. It is a process which uses a wire, which erodes the material from the workpiece, with the series of sparks being impulse. As the sparks are impulse, feed is continuously given to the wire, so that the machining process is carried out in continuity [1]. The workpiece should be electrically conductive, so as to facilitate the eroding process. Wire used in the process is generally made up of brass, and has a diameter of 0.25mm.

#### 20 Methodology

Taguchi's design of experiments has been employed in this research analysis.  $L_9$  Orthogonal array has been used for the same [2]. The classical design of experiment used the traditional full factorial design process, which involved numerous experiments to be conducted. In contrary to the classical approach, Taguchi's Orthogonal Arrays (OA) provided an advantage of less number of experiments to be conducted.

Signal to Noise ratio was used for the optimization purpose can be calculated using the equation 1

$$(S/N)_{LB} = -10 \log(MSD)_{LB}$$
(1)  
Where,  
$$\frac{1}{R} \sum_{J=1}^{R} (MSD)_{LB} (\frac{2}{J}) y$$

 $y_j =$  output characteristics, R = number of trials

approach is chosen.

MSD = mean square deviation.

It has three approaches to take, which are "Larger is the best", "Nominal is the best and "Smaller is better". Since we require minimizing the surface roughness, and improving the quality characteristic of the specimen, "Lower the better"

=

<sup>\*</sup>*Corresponding Author: Department of Mechanical Engineering, The NorthCap University, Gurugram, Haryana, India* (*E-mail: anmolbhatia@ncuindia.edu*)

<sup>\*\*</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, The NorthCap University, Gurugram, Haryana, India

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, The NorthCap University, Gurugram, Haryana, India

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Rawal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Faridabad, Haryana, India

## **30 Experimentation**

The material selected for the study was P20 tool steel and it is a widely used in today's manufacturing industries. This material has major applications in Plastic moulds, Precision plastic moulds, Backers, Bolsters and Dies holders. Table 1 shows the composition of P20 tool steel.

Taguchi's L9 Orthogonal array was selected for the study, process parameters of the sprint cut Wire EDM were chosen with the help of Ishikawa cause and effect diagram.

All the parameters, which affect the output response (surface roughness in this case), were listed out, and major causes were selected as the main parameters.

Therefore, Pulse off time, Pulse on time, Peak Current and Wire Tension were selected as the major parameters.

## Table 1: Composition of P20 Tool Steel

Element	С	Cr	Fe	Mn	Mo	Р	Si	S
Percentage	0.28	1.4	96.91	0.6	0.35	0.03	0.4	0.03

Pilot Experiments were conducted on the test specimen and three levels of the parameters were calculated and are tabulated in Table 2.

# Table 2: Different Levels for Each Process Parameters

Level	Pulse on Time	Pulse Off Time	Peak Current	Wire Tension
Units	Micro Seconds (µs)	Micro Seconds (µs)	Amperes(A)	KgF
1	124	48	10	7
2	125	49	11	8
3	126	50	12	9

The setting of the profile of the cut in the machine was fed into the machine, the profile of which is shown in the figure 1.

The Experimentation was done by considering different levels of input parameters and nine trial experiments were performed on the P20 Tool steel specimen which is shown in figure 2 and the cut specimens are shown in figure 3.

The experiments were conducted according to the above values of each parameter, and surface roughness for each machined specimen was recorded using Mitutoyu Surftest SJ210. Table 3 shows the L9 Orthogonal array which is used for the Experimentation.

### Fig 1: Profile of the Workpeice to be Machined



Fig 2: Machined Workpice



Fig 3: Cut Specimens



An Experimental Study to Achieve Minimum Surface Roughness While Machining P20 Tool Steel Using Wire EDM

Trial Run	Pulse on Time (A)	Pulse off Time (B)	Peak Current (C)	Wire Tension (D)	Average Value of Surface Roughness
1	124	48	10	7	4.363
2	124	49	11	8	3.846
3	124	50	12	9	4.196
4	125	48	11	9	4.256
5	125	49	12	7	4.42
6	125	50	10	8	3.833
7	126	48	12	8	4.713
8	126	49	10	9	3.903
9	126	50	11	7	3.593

#### Table 3: L9 Orthogonal Array

## Table 4: Response Table for S/N Ratio Plot

LEVEL	Α	В	С	D
1	-12.32	-12.95	-12.10	-12.27
2	-12.39	-12.15	-11.80	-12.28
3	-12.14	-11.75	-12.94	-12.29
DELTA	0.25	1.20	1.15	0.02
RANK	3	1	2	4

## **Table 5: Response Table for Means Plot**

LEVEL	Α	В	С	D
1	4.136	4.444	4.033	4.126
2	4.170	4.057	3.899	4.131
3	4.070	3.874	4.443	4.119
DELTA	0.100	0.570	0.544	0.012
RANK	3	1	2	4

#### 4.0 Results and Discussions

After the experimentation at different levels of process parameters, the signal to noise ratio was calculated. The response table for Signal to noise ratio is represented in the table 4. The table of means of the responses at different levels was also calculated and is tabulated in table 5.

Further, using the Minitab software, the Main effects plot for signal to noise ratio and Data means have been plotted. Figure 4 and Figure 5 represents the Main effects plots for Signal to Noise ratio and Data means respectively.

#### Fig 4: Main Effects Plots for S/N ratio





## Fig 5: Main Effects Plot for Means

Fig 4: Probability Plot For Surface Roughness Values



From the above response table and S/N ratio plot, the point of consideration is the maximum values or the peak values for each parameter, as they are suitable for calculating the minimum surface roughness. Therefore, from the S/N plot, we infer that Factor A (Pulse on time) is maximum at third level, Factor B (Pulse off time) is maximum at the third level, Factor C (Peak Current) is maximum at the second level and Factor D (Wire Tension) is maximum at the first level. Therefore, we can conclude that, the optimum levels for each parameter obtained are A<sub>3</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>2</sub> and D<sub>1</sub> respectively. Also, to check whether the output data (surface roughness), so obtained is normally distributed or not, probability plot up to 95% confidence interval was plotted. The middle line represents an almost linear relationship and all the values fall within the 95% Confidence Intervals. It can also be inferred that, there is no sudden increase or decrease in the surface roughness values, as these values fall closely to the line of zero, hence representing an almost linear relationship. Figure 6 represents the Probability plot for the surface roughness values.

#### 4.1 Analysis of variance

Analysis of variance, often abbreviated as ANOVA, is a statistical technique, which is used to find the significance or contribution of each factor towards surface roughness in the context of this research analysis. ANOVA is performed using Minitab'17 software and General Linear Model is used for the same, with each factor having a DOF as 2. The ANOVA table is shown in table 6.

Table	6:	ANO	VA	Table

Source	DOF	Seq. SS	Adj. SS	Adj. MS	P-Value (%)
А	2	0.01548	0.015484	0.007742	1.54
В	2	0.50848	0.508477	0.254238	50.51
С	2	0.48260	0.482595	0.241298	47.93
D	2	0.00022	0.000225	0.000112	0.02
Error	0	-	-	-	-
Total	8	1.00678			100

Where, DOF represents Degree of freedom, Seq.SS represents sequential Sum of squares ,Adj.SS represents Adjusted Sum of squares and Adj.MS represents Adjusted Mean squares. The optimum levels of each process parameter is listed in the below table-7. This shows that the high level of Pulse on time, high level of Pulse off time, Medium level of Peak Current and low level of wire tension will be the optimum setting of the machine to obtain the minimum surface roughness.

Table 7: Optimum	Levels and	Values	for	Process
	Parameters	;		

Process Parameter	Optimum Level	Value
Pulse On Time	3	126
Pulse Off Time	3	50
Peak Current	2	11
Wire Tension	1	7

Now the theoretical value of Surface Roughness can be calculated with the help of the equation 2

$$\begin{split} Y_{th, \ opt} &= T_{mean} + (A_3 - T_{mean}) + (B_3 \text{ - } T_{mean}) + \\ (C_2 - T_{mean}) + (D_1 - T_{mean}) \qquad (2) \end{split}$$

Where,

 $Y_{th, \ opt} = Theoretical \ Optimized \ Surface \ Roughness,$ 

 $T_{mean}$  = Mean of Average Roughness = 4.125185,

 $A_3 = 4.070,$ 

 $B_3 = 3.874,$ 

 $C_2 = 3.899,$ 

 $D_1 = 4.126$ 

Note that the values of above factors at their optimum levels are taken from the Response Table for Means plot (Table 5).

Now, putting the values of each term in the above equation, we get,

$$\begin{split} Y_{th,opt} &= 4.125185 + (4.070 - 4.125185) + \\ (3.874 - 4.125185) + (3.899 - 4.125185) + (4.126 - \\ 4.125185) \end{split}$$

 $Y_{th,opt} = 3.593445 \ \mu m$ 

Thus the Theoretical Optimized Surface Roughness value obtained is  $3.593445 \ \mu m$ .

## 4.2 Confirmation experiment

A confirmation experiment was conducted, in order to validate the accuracy of the above optimization analysis. The experiment was carried out on the optimal parametric settings, which were obtained from above S/N ratio analysis. A specimen was machined using the optimal settings, and the surface roughness for the same was measured using Mitutoyo SurfTest SJ 201. Three values for surface roughness were recorded, and the average was then computed. The average surface roughness value came out to be  $3.634 \mu m$ . This value when compared to the theoretical optimized value generated an error of only 0.86%, which further validates our experiment.

#### 5.Conclusions

Experimental analysis on P20 tool steel is done on SprintCut Wire EDM using brass wire of 0.25 mm diameter. The analysis involves several conclusions, which have been listed as follows:

- The Optimum values for obtaining minimum surface roughness were found to be as follows: Pulse on time, Pulse off time, Peak Current and Wire Tension are 126 µs, 50 µs, 11 A and 7 kgf respectively.
- Pulse off time and Peak Current came out to be the most significant factors, followed by Pulse on time and Wire Tension. Pulse off time was the major contributor, with the % contribution of 50.51.
- The confirmation experiment validated the optimization analysis, with an error of only 0.86%.

#### References

- [1.] BB Nayak, SS Mahapatra. Optimization of WEDM process parameters using deep cryotreated Inconel 718 as work material, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, 2015, 2215-0986
- [2.] R Bobbili, V Madhu, AK Gogia. Modelling and analysis of material removal rate and surface roughness in wire-cut EDM of armour Material, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, 18, 2015, 664-668
- [3.] M Kolli, A Kumar. Effect of dielectric fluid with surfactant and graphite powder on Electrical Discharge Machining of titanium alloy using Taguchi method, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology 18, 2015, 524-535
- [4.] S Dewangan, S Gangopadhyay, CK Biswas. Multi-response optimization of surface

integrity characteristics of EDM process using grey-fuzzy logic-based hybrid approach, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology18, 2015, 361-368

- [5.] V Aggarwal, SS Khangura, RK Garg. Parametric modelling and optimization for wire electrical discharge machining of Inconel 718 using response surface methodology, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 2015, 79, 31–47
- [6.] I Maher, LH Ling, AAD Sarhan, M Hamdi. Improve wire EDM performance at different machining parameters–ANFIS modelling, IFAC-Papers OnLine 48(1), 2015, 105–110
- [7.] N Sharma, R Khanna, RD Gupta. WEDM process variables investigation for HSLA by response surface methodology and genetic algorithm, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology18, 2015, 171-177
- [8.] A Varun, N Venkaiah. Simultaneous optimization of WEDM responses using grey relational analysis coupled with genetic algorithm while machining EN 353, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 76, 2015, 675– 690
- [9.] A Goswami, J Kumar. Optimization in wirecut EDM of Nimonic-80A using Taguchi's approach and utility concept, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology17, 2014, 236 – 246
- [10.] RB Azhiri, R Teimouri, MG Baboly, Z Leseman. Application of Taguchi, ANFIS and grey relational analysis for studying, modeling and optimization of wire EDM process while using gaseous media, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology71, 2014, 279– 295

- [11.] A Bhatia, S Kumar, P Kumar. A Study to achieve minimum surface roughness in Wire EDM, Procedia Material Science5, 2014, 2560–2566.
- [12.] S Tilekar, SS Das, PK Patowari. Process Parameter Optimization of Wire EDM on Aluminium and Mild Steel by Using Taguchi Method, Procedia Material Science 5, 2014, 2577-2584
- [13.] JB Saedon, N Jaafar, MA Yahaya, NH Saad, MS Kasim. (2014), Multi-objective optimization of titanium alloy through orthogonal array and grey relational analysis in WEDM, Procedia Technology,15, 2014, 832-840
- [14.] C Cao, X Zhang, X Zha, C Dong. Surface integrity of tool steels multi-cut by wire electrical discharge machining, Procedia Engineering 81, 2014, 1945 – 1951.
- [15.] TB Rao, AG Krishna. Selection of optimal process parameters in WEDM while machining Al7075/SiCp metal matrix composites, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology,73, 2014, 299–314
- [16.] P Sivaprakasam, P Hariharan, S Gowri. Modeling and analysis of micro-WEDM process of titanium alloy (Tie6Ale4V) using response surface approach, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, 17, 2014, 227 – 235.
- [17.] MK Pradhan. Estimating the effect of process parameters on surface integrity of EDMed AISI D2 tool steel by response surface methodology coupled with grey relational analysis, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 67, 2013, 2051–2062.
- [18.] S Boopathi, K Sivakumar. Experimental investigation and parameter optimization of near-dry wire-cut electrical discharge machining using multi-objective evolutionary algorithm, International Journal

An Experimental Study to Achieve Minimum Surface Roughness While Machining P20 Tool Steel 159 Using Wire EDM

of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 67, 2013, 2639–2655

- [19.] D Hanaoka, Y Fukuzawa, C Ramirez, P Miranzo, MIOsendi, M Belmonte. Electrical discharge machining of ceramic/carbon nanostructure composites, Procedia CIRP 6, 2013, 95 – 100
- [20.] L Li, YB Guo, XT Wei, W Li. Surface integrity characteristics in wire-EDM of Inconel 718 at different discharge energy, Procedia CIRP 6, 2013, 220 – 225
- [21.] A Ikram, NA Mufti, Muhammad, Qsaleem, AR Khan. Parametric optimization for surface roughness, kerf and MRR in wire electrical discharge machining (WEDM) using Taguchi design of experiment, Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology 27 (7), 2013, 2133-2141
- [22.] A Kumar, V Kumar, J Kumar. Multiresponse optimization of process parameters based on response surface methodology for pure titanium using WEDM process, International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 68, 2013, 2645– 2668.