

#### Article Info

Received: 13 May 2019 | Revised Submission: 20 May 2019 | Accepted: 28 May 2019 | Available Online: 15 Jun 2019

### Effect of Submerged Arc Welding Parameters on Hard Facing with Different Proportions of Alloy Mix upon Mild Steel

Navin Kumar\* and Harpuneet Singh\*\*

#### ABSTRACT

*The optimum values of various parameters and their levels were determined for minimum dilution level in SAW process. The element infusion in hard facing was determined by optical emission spectra analysis.*

*This study will help the engineers to select the proper parameters for required weld bead geometry. Also to use various elements and ferro-alloys in proper composition to develop localized alloying on mild steel to reduce wear losses.*

**Keywords:** Hardfacinfacing; Weld Bead Gepmetry; Spectra Analysis; Ferro Alloy.

#### 1.0 Introduction

Welding is a fabrication process used by almost every industry. It is a principal means of fabricating and repairing metal products. The process is efficient, economical, and dependable as a means of joining metals. The survival of the mankind has become so much dependent on welding that it would be almost impossible to think of computers, aircrafts, rockets, ships, submarines, nuclear reactors, home appliances, automobiles, pressure vessels, utility stations etc. This is the only process which has been tried in the space. The process finds its applications in air, underwater and in space.

So many other welding processes were developed with time and welding is not just remaining a joining process but it is utilized for special purposes like cladding, hard facing, cutting etc. Hard facing by welding is the process where harder or tougher material is applied to a base metal. It is basically a surfacing operation to extend the service life of industrial components, pre-emptively on new components, or as part of a maintenance program.

The result of significant savings in machine down time and production costs has meant that this process has been adopted across many industries such as steel, cement, mining, petrochemical, power, sugar cane, and food.

Steel is the widely used material by industries. One major problem which is faced by the industries are wear and tear of the components The present trend in the fabrication industries is to use hard facing to improve wear and abrasion of worn out parts.

Automated welding processes are necessary to obtain high production rates, high precision and greater reliability of the hard faced components. To automate a welding process it is essential to establish the relationship between process parameters and weld bead geometry to predict and control weld bead quality and also for the overlay elements used for hard facing.

#### 2.0 Literature Review

Tarng et al. in 2002, used grey-based Taguchi methods for the optimization of the submerged arc welding process parameters in Hard facing with considerations of multiple weld qualities was reported. In this approach, the grey relational analysis was adopted to solve the SAW process with multiple weld qualities. Optimal process parameters were determined by using the parameter design proposed by the Taguchi method.

Chatterjee in 2003, studied the abrasive wear behavior of different hard facing electrodes deposited on grey cast iron used for the top bearing plate of a coal crushing unit.

\*Corresponding Author: Department of Mechanical Engineering, KCET Amritsar, Punjab, India  
(E-mail: nav15feb@gmail.com)

\*\*Department of Mechanical Engineering, KCET Amritsar, Punjab, India

The results showed that different hard facing electrodes as well as the weld procedure variation using similar electrodes have large effects on low stress abrasion resistance of the deposit. Carbon content is an important factor determining microstructure of such hard facing electrodes and therefore wear resistance. Behcet 2003, in this study, worn parts were welded using the submerged arc welding process. Various wires and fluxes were used for this purpose. These welded parts were subjected to wear tests under different loads, and changes in the hardness and microstructures were examined. A pin-on-disk wear test apparatus was used. The results showed that the hardest weld metal showed the highest wear resistance, while the least hard weld metal showed the least wear resistance. The weld hardness and wear resistance obtained were found to be dependent on the chemical composition of the weld wire and flux.

Kanjilal et al. 2006, developed rotatable designs based on statistical experiments for mixtures to predict the combined effect of flux mixture and welding parameters on submerged arc weld metal chemical composition and mechanical properties. Agglomerated fluxes used in the study were prepared by varying the ingredients CaO, MgO, CaF<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The ranges of each flux ingredients as well as welding parameters used in the study were determined by trial run in submerged arc welding. Chatterjee in 2006, studied effect of welding procedural variation upon the cracking sensitivity and performance of interface between substrate cast iron and deposited layers using various welding procedures. The results show that crack lengths per unit area of the deposits were affected by the welding procedure and Cr/C ratio of the hard facing electrodes used.

Palani et al. in 2007, investigated the effect of cladding parameters such as welding current, welding speed, and nozzle-to-plate distance on the weld bead geometry. Mathematical equations were developed by using the data obtained by conducting three-factor five-level factorial experiments

Shahi et al. in 2008, carried out an experimental study to analyse the effects of gas metal arc welding (GMAW) and universal gas metal arc welding (UGMAW) process parameters on dilution in single layer stainless steel cladding of low carbon structural steel plates. Four factors five levels central composite rotatable design was used to develop

relationship for predicting dilution, which enables to quantify the direct and interactive effects of four numeric factors i.e. wire feed rate, open circuit voltage, welding speed and nozzle-to-plate distance and one categorical factor preheat current. Beidokhti in 2009, investigated the effect of titanium addition on the SAW weld metal microstructure of API 5L-X70 pipeline steel. The relationship between microstructure and toughness of the weld deposit was studied by means of full metallographic, longitudinal tensile, Charpy-V notch and HIC tests on the specimens cut transversely to the weld beads. The best combination of microstructure and impact properties was obtained in the range of 0.02–0.05% titanium.

### 3.0 Experimental Design

The Substrate material (base metal) used in the study is mild steel. The chemical composition of base metal is given in Table 1, which was determined by optical emission spectra (OES) method.

The elements used for overlay implication in the steel for hard facing are:

- Graphite (C)
- Ferro Chrome (Fe-Cr)
- Ferro Manganese (Fe-Mn)
- Felspar(CaF<sub>2</sub>)

**Table 1: Chemical Composition of Base Metal.**

| Chemical composition |      |      |       |       |       |      |
|----------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|
|                      | i    | Mn   | S     | P     | Cr    | Cu   |
| .3                   | .256 | 0.68 | 0.048 | 0.047 | 0.013 | 0.02 |


In present study, L<sub>9</sub> orthogonal array with four columns and nine rows is used. Each parameter is assigned to each column of the orthogonal array. Therefore, only nine experiments are required to study the entire parameter space using L<sub>9</sub> orthogonal array. 4 parameters and 3 levels are available for the experimentation.

The four parameters taken are Graphite, Ferro chrome, Ferro manganese, and Felspar. Their three levels low medium and high are selected by conducting trail runs. Table 2 is showing the planning of the experimental design of hard facing elements for the investigation. Parameter values taken are in weight percent.

**Table 2: Balanced Design of Orthogonal Array**


| Run no. | %C   | %Fe-Cr | %Fe-Mn | %CaF <sub>2</sub> |
|---------|------|--------|--------|-------------------|
| 1       | 12.5 | 62.5   | 12.5   | 12.5              |
| 2       | 8.7  | 60.9   | 17.4   | 13                |
| 3       | 6.7  | 60.0   | 20.0   | 13.3              |
| 4       | 18.2 | 45.5   | 18.2   | 18.2              |
| 5       | 15.4 | 53.8   | 23.1   | 7.7               |
| 6       | 14.8 | 66.7   | 7.4    | 11.1              |
| 7       | 24.0 | 40.0   | 24.0   | 12.0              |
| 8       | 23.1 | 53.8   | 7.7    | 15.4              |
| 9       | 20.0 | 60.0   | 13.3   | 6.7               |

**Fig 1: Schematic of base metal plate after applying overlay.**



Using the same parameters, beads were deposited on plates, cut, polished for metallographic analysis and the results were analyzed.

**Fig 2: Samples prepared for analysis**



#### 4.0 Results and Discussions

Experimental data is analyzed and S/N ratio is calculated for each response variable under the investigation. For depth of penetration and percent dilution “the smaller is better” S/N ratio has been chosen because these are to be minimized. Similarly for Bead width “larger is better” S/N ratio has been chosen as the goal is to maximize these response variables

**Table 3: Ranking of Significant Factors for %Dilution.**

| Level | S/N Ratio |             |                 |         |
|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------|
|       | Graphite  | Ferrochrome | Ferro Manganese | Felspar |
| 1     | -30.324   | -12.739     | -14.1436        | -13.906 |
| 2     | -30.070   | -14.870     | -14.3529        | -12.991 |
| 3     | -28.433   | -14.450     | -13.5639        | -15.161 |
| Δ     | 1.89      | 2.22        | 0.86            | 1.73    |
| Rank  | 2         | 1           | 4               | 3       |

**Table 4: Optimum Levels of Overlay Factors for %Dilution.**

| Symbol           | Overlay elements | Level | Level Description (wt %) |
|------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| C                | Graphite         | 3     | 30%                      |
| Fe-Cr            | Ferrochrome      | 1     | 50%                      |
| Fe-Mn            | Ferromanganese   | 3     | 30%                      |
| CaF <sub>2</sub> | Felspar          | 2     | 15%                      |

**Table 5: Ranking of Significant Factors for Depth of Penetration**


| Level | S/N Ratio |             |                 |         |
|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------|
|       | Graphite  | Ferrochrome | Ferro Manganese | Felspar |
| 1     | -15.20    | -12.739     | -14.14          | -13.90  |
| 2     | -14.08    | -14.870     | -14.35          | -12.99  |
| 3     | -12.77    | -14.450     | -13.56          | -15.16  |
| Δ     | 2.43      | 2.13        | 0.79            | 2.17    |
| Rank  | 1         | 3           | 4               | 2       |

**Table 6: Ranking of Significant Factors for Bead Width.**

| Level | S/N Ratio |             |                 |         |
|-------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------|
|       | Graphite  | Ferrochrome | Ferro Manganese | Felspar |
| 1     | 26.20     | 25.05       | 25.00           | 24.86   |
| 2     | 24.86     | 24.94       | 25.15           | 25.09   |
| 3     | 24.13     | 25.20       | 25.05           | 25.24   |
| Δ     | 2.06      | 0.26        | 0.15            | 0.37    |
| Rank  | 1         | 3           | 4               | 2       |


**Table 7: Optimum Levels the Factors for Bead Width.**

| Symbol           | Overlay elements | Level | Level Description (wt %) |
|------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| C                | Graphite         | 1     | 10%                      |
| Fe-Cr            | Ferrochrome      | 3     | 90%                      |
| Fe-Mn            | Ferromanganese   | 2     | 20%                      |
| CaF <sub>2</sub> | Felspar          | 3     | 20%                      |

**Fig 3: Microhardness profile**

#### 4.1 Micro hardness

Micro hardness profile shows that there is decrease in the hardness as we move downward from the top surface. But this trend is not uniform as there are some variations observed in the hardness as we move downward. There is an appreciable change in hardness as we enter in the heat affected zone and further to the base metal. As per the hard facing elements infusion is concerned the maximum carbon infused is 0.93% in sample no.7 and minimum is 0.434% in sample no.2. The maximum chromium infused is 2.32% in sample no.3 and the minimum of 1.48% in sample no.4. The maximum manganese infused is more than 2.5% in sample no.5 and the lowest in sample no.1.

**Fig 4: Plot of % element infusion.****Table 8: Micro Hardness of Samples at Various Depths**

| Depth (Micron) | sample 1 | sample 2 | sample 3 | sample 4 | sample 5 | sample 6 | sample 7 | sample 8 | sample 9 |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 0              | 610      | 589      | 597      | 600      | 630      | 612      | 700      | 689      | 683      |
| 200            | 598      | 584      | 598      | 585      | 600      | 621      | 689      | 645      | 689      |
| 400            | 600      | 587      | 560      | 598      | 574      | 589      | 667      | 652      | 647      |
| 600            | 560      | 567      | 587      | 562      | 583      | 577      | 605      | 610      | 677      |
| 800            | 550      | 595      | 596      | 585      | 564      | 512      | 634      | 612      | 665      |
| 1000           | 545      | 585      | 587      | 654      | 587      | 542      | 652      | 623      | 621      |
| 1200           | 520      | 589      | 546      | 624      | 580      | 517      | 624      | 647      | 630      |
| 1400           | 530      | 623      | 632      | 654      | 600      | 567      | 689      | 598      | 610      |
| 1600           | 517      | 589      | 589      | 584      | 540      | 524      | 648      | 579      | 645      |
| 1800           | 523      | 620      | 587      | 546      | 566      | 574      | 600      | 589      | 648      |
| 2000           | 523      | 621      | 589      | 534      | 578      | 548      | 585      | 568      | 650      |
| 2200           | 548      | 554      | 600      | 577      | 542      | 554      | 598      | 596      | 650      |
| 2400           | 528      | 524      | 647      | 546      | 532      | 557      | 587      | 623      | 625      |
| 2600           | 512      | 532      | 598      | 589      | 546      | 570      | 598      | 623      | 662      |
| 2800           | 523      | 521      | 587      | 532      | 547      | 548      | 587      | 658      | 630      |
| 3000           | 534      | 521      | 547      | 549      | 556      | 573      | 624      | 596      | 612      |
| 3200           | 523      | 532      | 520      | 573      | 548      | 538      | 590      | 586      | 600      |
| 3400           | 548      | 546      | 553      | 557      | 567      | 578      | 589      | 567      | 589      |
| 3600           | 542      | 521      | 567      | 556      | 560      | 545      | 596      | 600      | 560      |
| 3800           | 522      | 514      | 524      | 580      | 545      | 557      | 578      | 589      | 570      |
| 4000           | 503      | 534      | 543      | 558      | 543      | 545      | 567      | 549      | 586      |
| 4200           | 520      | 545      | 578      | 579      | 570      | 534      | 578      | 567      | 567      |
| 4600           | 540      | 536      | 556      | 575      | 536      | 542      | 560      | 604      | 585      |
| 4800           | 490      | 500      | 567      | 543      | 578      | 532      | 540      | 600      | 600      |
| 5000           | 449      | 556      | 589      | 548      | 526      | 534      | 548      | 567      | 590      |

The spectrometry analysis revealed that the other elements in the steel were well within their ranges. Phosphorus aids fusibility and fluidity but induces brittleness if it exceeds 1% in steel was found 0.4-0.7%. Sulphur lowers the viscosity of the melt gives harmful effects if exceeds 0.1% was found 0.02-0.06%. It was clear from the experimental data for Microhardness profile of the samples that the average hardness values were recorded around 550 HV. Higher values of hardness above 700 VHN were reported in some cases. Hence, the increase in hardness from the base metal (approx.180 VHN) was recorded as three times (300%)

#### 5.0Conclusions

The optimum values of various parameters and their levels were determined for minimum dilution level in SAW process. The element infusion in hard facing was determined by optical emission spectra analysis. Following results were concluded from the experimental work.

- Graphite, Ferrochrome, and Felspar were the dominant factors influencing penetration apart from the welding process parameters for depth of penetration.
- Graphite was the lone parameter that influences the weld bead width.
- Graphite, Ferrochrome, and Felspar were the significant factors for percentage dilution.
- It was clear from the experimental data for Micro hardness profile of the samples that the average hardness values were recorded around 550 HV. Higher values of hardness above 700 VHN were reported in some cases. Hence, the increase in hardness from the base metal (approx.180 VHN) was recorded as three times (300%)
- From the spectrometry analysis of samples, it was clear that the sample which was having highest carbon percentage along with manganese has shown maximum hardness as carbon is directly responsible for hardness and it is the dominant factor. The chromium which is responsible for hardness and toughness is second most significant factor.

This study will help the engineers to select the proper parameters for required weld bead geometry. Also to use various elements and ferro-alloys in proper composition to develop localized alloying on mild steel to reduce wear losses.

## References

- [1] J Antony. Robust Design in new product development process: a neglected methodology in UK manufacturing Organisations, *Work Study*, 51(2), 2002, 81-84
- [2] J Antony. Taguchi or Classical design of experiments: a perspective from a practitioner, *Sensor Review*, 26(3), 2006, 227-230
- [3] J Antony. Reducing manufacturing process variability using experimental design technique: a case study, *Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, 10(3), 1999, 162-170
- [4] B Gulenc, N Kahraman. Wear behaviour of bulldozer rollers welded using a submerged arc welding process, *Materials and Design* 24, 2003, 537–542
- [5] B Beidokhti, AH Koukabi, A Dolati. Effect of titanium addition on the microstructure and inclusion formation in submerged arc welded HSLA pipeline steel, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 209, 2009, 4027–4035.
- [6] B Beidokhti, AH Koukabi, A Dolati. Influences of titanium and manganese on high strength low alloy SAW weld metal properties, *Materials Characterization*, 60(3), 2009, 225-233
- [7] KY Benyounis, AH Bettamer, AG Olabi, MSJ Hashmi M.S.J. Prediction the impact strength of spiral-welded pipe joints in submerged arc welding of low carbon steel, In: *Proceedings of IMC21*, 1–3 September 2004. Limerick; 2004, 200–10.
- [8] KY Benyounis, AG Olabi. Optimization of different welding processes using statistical and numerical approaches – A reference guide, *Advances in Engineering Software* 39, 2008, 483–496.
- [9] RS Chandel, HP Seow, FL Cheong. Effect of increasing deposition rate on the bead geometry of submerged arc welds, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 72, 1997, 124–128
- [10] Chiang. Taguchi experimental design for manufacturing process optimisation using historical data and a neural network process model, *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 22(5), 2005, 485-502
- [11] DL Ren, FR Xiao, P Tian, X Wang, L Bo. Effects of welding wire composition and welding process on the weld metal toughness of submerged arc welded pipeline steel, *International Journal of Minerals, Metallurgy and Materials*, 16(1), 2009, 65-70.

- [12] DV, Kumar D Pratihar, GL Datta, MN Jha, TK Saha, AV Bapat. Optimization of bead geometry in electron beam welding using a Genetic Algorithm, *journal of materials processing technology* 209, 2009, 1151–1157
- [13] V Gunarai, N Murugan. Prediction and optimization of weld bead volume for the submerged arc process – part 1, *Weld Journal, AWS*, 2000, 286–94.
- [14] V Gunarai, N Murugan. Prediction and optimization of weld bead volume for the submerged arc process – part 2, *Weld Journal, AWS* 3, 2000, 31–8.
- [15] V Gunaraj, N Murugan. Application of response surface methodology for predicting weld bead quality in submerged arc welding of pipes, *Journal of Material Process Technology* 88, 1999, 266–75.
- [16] V Gunaraj, N Murugan. Prediction and comparison of the area of the heat-affected zone for the bead-on-plates and bead-on-joint in submerged arc welding of pipes *Journal of Mater Process Technology* 95, 1999, 1999, 246–61.
- [17] V Gunaraj, N Murugan. Prediction of heat-affected zone characteristics in submerged arc welding of structural steel pipes. *Weld Journal, AWS*, 2002, 94–8.
- [18] V Gunaraj, N Murugan. Prediction and control of weld bead geometry and shape relationships in submerged arc welding of pipes, *Journal of Material Process Technology*; 168, 2005, 478–87.
- [19] VK Gupta, RS Parmar. Fractional factorial technique to predict dimensions of the weld bead in automatic submerged arc welding *IE (I) J- MC*, 1989, 67–75.
- [20] J Nowacki, P Rybicki. The influence of welding heat input on submerged arc welded duplex steel joints imperfections, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 164–165, 1989, 1082–1088.
- [21] T Kannan, N Murugan. Effect of flux cored arc welding process parameters on duplex stainless steel clad quality, *Journal of Material Process Technology* 176, 2006, 230–9.
- [22] ME Khallaf MA Ibrahim, NA EI-Mahallawy, MA Taha. On crack susceptibility in the submerged arc welding of medium-carbon steel plates, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology* 68, 1997, 43- 49
- [23] IS Kim, JS Son, CE Park, CW Lee, YKDV Prasad. A study on prediction of bead height in robotic arc welding using a neural network, *Journal of Material Process Technology*; 130–131, 2002, 229–34.
- [24] KP Kolhe, CK Datta. Prediction of microstructure and mechanical properties of multipass SAW, *Journal of materials processing technology* 197, 2008, 241–249.
- [25] A Kumar, J Motwani, L Otero. An application of Taguchi's robust experimental design to improve service performance, *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 13(4), 1996, 85- 98
- [26] MK Kanti, SP Rao. Prediction of bead geometry in pulsed GMA welding using back propagation neural network, *journal of materials processing technology* 200, 2008, 300–305
- [27] NA MarcPherson, K Chi, TN Baker. Submerged arc welding of stainless steel and the challenge from the laser welding process, *Journal of material processing technology* 134, 2003, 174-179.
- [28] KC Mills, BJ Keene. Physicochemical properties of molten  $\text{CaF}_2$  based slag, *International .Metals. Review*, 1, 1989, 21–69.
- [29] N Murugan, RS Parmar. Effect of welding conditions on microstructure and properties of tupe 316L stainless steel submerged arc

- welding cladding, Weld Journal, AWS 97, 76(5), 1997, 210–20,
- [30] N Murugan, RS Parmar, SK Sud. Effect of submerged arc welding process variables on dilution and bead geometry in single wire surfacing, Journal of Material Process Technology; 37, 1993, 767–80.
- [31] LK Pan, LK Wang, CC Hsiao, KC Ho. Optimization of Nd-YAG laser welding onto magnesium alloy via Taguchi analysis, Journal of Optical and Laser Technology, 37, 2004, 33–42.
- [32] PJ Ross. Taguchi Techniques For Quality Engineering; Second Edition; Tata McGraw Hill Edition