# CHAPTER 38

# Comprehensive Review of BIM Integration for Advancing Circular Economy Practices in Construction

*Sameer Parker[1], Sumeet Raje[2], Yuvraj Bari[2] and Abhishek Patil[2]*

**ABSTRACT**

The construction sector is a major contributor to the world's waste production and resource consumption, which emphasizes how urgently the linear economy (CE) model needs to give way. By enabling sustainable design, construction, and demolition practices, Building Information Modeling (BIM) has become a transformative tool that has the potential to ease this transition. This thorough analysis summarizes findings from 30 studies investigating how BIM can be integrated to support CE principles in the construction industry. Key BIM applications that support resource recovery, lifecycle assessment, waste reduction, and material efficiency are identified by the analysis. New developments emphasize the application of BIM for predictive waste analytics, deconstruction planning, and end-of-life material tracking. However, obstacles like a lack of standardized frameworks, a lack of stakeholder collaboration, and technological barriers prevent widespread adoption. In order to achieve sustainable construction practices, this study highlights the necessity of integrated strategies that combine BIM with circular design approaches, stakeholder engagement, and policy support. The results offer a road map for academic institutions and industry participants to fully utilize BIM's potential in promoting environmental sustainability, circular economy goals, and resource efficiency across the building lifecycle.

**Keywords:** Building Information Modeling (BIM); Circular Economy (CE); Sustainable construction; Waste minimization; Lifecycle assessment.

## 1.0 Introduction

These problems have been transformed by the concept of the Circular Economy (CE), which encourages recycling, reuse, and sustainable design of products as ways of reducing resource depletion. The construction sector is a global leader in waste generation and resource usage as it is founded mainly on a linear economic pattern based on the "take, make, dispose" approach. The model ends up in large quantities of waste materials, harm to nature, and poor management of resources.

[1]*Corresponding author; School of Construction, NICMAR University, Pune, Maharashtra, India (E-mail: P2370108@student.nicmar.ac.in)*

[2]*School of Construction, NICMAR University, Pune, Maharashtra, India*

*DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507038* *ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4*

The key purposes of CE principles are reducing impacts on the environment, increasing the productivity of resources, and maintaining the longevity of materials. Applying the principles of the circular economy using BIM has fascinated the construction industry. It is made possible across the duration of a project through data-driven decision-making using BIM's digital representation of building elements and processes. The application of BIM together with CE principles improves procedures of deconstruction and reuse, traces material flows, and optimizes design. Nonetheless, BIM-CE integration research is in its early stages and is confronted with several challenges, including data interoperability, regulatory systems, and stakeholder engagement. The aim of this review is to provide a critical overview of the literature on utilizing BIM to enable circular economy practices in the construction sector. This paper summarizes the primary methods, challenges, and research areas for further investigation in this area based on a critical examination of thirty well-selected research articles. This study contributes to the ongoing discussion on sustainable building and the shift towards a circular economy by consolidating the body of existing literature and proposing potential directions for future innovation.

## 2.0 Research Objectives

- To assess the existing use of BIM in construction against the principles of the circular economy.
- To establish the principal benefits and challenges of implementing BIM to advance the principles of the circular economy.
- To examine the roles of BIM throughout the course of a life, such as design, construction, use, and end-of-life.
- To give a guideline for expanding BIM application in order to serve circular construction targets.

## 3.0 Literature Review

As the construction industry is among the largest generators of waste and carbon emissions globally, it is crucial that it be complemented by technologies addressing waste minimization, resource efficiency, and material recovery. In its implementation of CE practices, BIM—a computerized representation of an asset life cycle—is central to enabling decision-making, information sharing, and predictive modeling. Studies prove how BIM can maximize resources, handle end-of-life (EOL) processes, and reduce construction waste. Predictive material recovery and reuse are enabled by stakeholders' capacity to anticipate waste generation in the early design stage due to predictive modeling integrated into BIM platforms such as Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference Systems (ANFIS). BIM also facilitates ease of collaboration between contractors, architects, engineers, and material suppliers, coordinating decision-making for optimization of resources and minimization of waste. Despite these benefits, several barriers to extensive use of CE principles in BIM exist, including a lack of adequate waste management databases and standards. Existing solutions are often incomplete frameworks with limited interoperability, thus restricting their potential to leverage the full capabilities of BIM in circularity. BIM is an essential tool for enhancing CE practices due to its ability to document, visualize, and assess materials. In summary, BIM holds much potential for embedding CE principles within the construction processes, but more research needs to be undertaken in order to overcome organizational and technological challenges. Attaining a circular construction model is going to involve enhancing the ability of BIM and promoting stakeholder collaboration.

**4.0 Research Methodology**

This review paper utilizes a systematic method to bring together and critically analyze the means through which circular economy (CE) and building information modeling (BIM) converge in the construction industry. The aim of the methodology is to critically look into, group, and analyze the findings of 30 precisely selected research papers. The approach is explained in steps below:

- *Selection of Literature:* 30 peer-reviewed journal articles and conference proceedings make up the review, which is centered on studies for BIM application and CE practice in construction. The articles were selected according to their quality, relevance, and suitability to the objectives of the study. Keywords such as “building information modeling,” “circular economy,” “construction waste management,” and “material reuse” were employed to search the literature.
- *Thematic Categorization:* The selected studies were categorized using key themes like lifecycle analytics, material recovery, waste prediction and reduction, BIM for end-of-life (EOL) management, and collaborative methods. This thematic categorization enabled a focused analysis of how BIM supports various aspects of CE implementation.
- *Critical Analysis and Integration:* Important observations about the technologies, tools, and methods applied to integrate BIM with CE principles were drawn from every study through analysis. Comparison analysis was conducted to find out the gaps, challenges, and progress in the sector. Special focus was laid on how BIM assists with stakeholder coordination, predictive modeling, and waste minimization.
- *Framework Development:* Grounded in the integration of literature, a conceptual model is presented to guide the incorporation of BIM into CE practice in the construction sector, and the optimal BIM uses and their conformance to CE purposes and call for additional research and development.
- *Validation through Expert Feedback:* Experts in BIM and sustainable construction examined the results and suggested a framework to confirm the conclusions’ precision, applicability, and relevance.

*DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507038* *ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4*

- *Reporting and Interpretation:* The results were collated into a coherent narrative to provide readers with a comprehensive understanding of how BIM promotes circular economy principles. The research captures significant concerns, fresh advances, and practical recommendations for future study and practice.

The essay makes a contribution to the knowledge base regarding the integration of CE practices and BIM in the building sector through a systematic methodology that ensures extensive and credible analysis.

## 5.0 Data Analysis

A survey on BIM integration with the circular economy and qualitative values will be employed to gather vital information regarding the industry's knowledge, adoption, and challenges in encouraging BIM in circular economy practice.

*Familiarity with BIM and the Circular Economy*

- 44.4% of those interviewed are relatively well-informed about BIM, while 16.7% have no idea about it
- .30.6% of the participants knew enough about the circular economy to manage, and 22.2% knew much about it.
- Though BIM's well-proven benefits, not a lot is known about how effective it can be when incorporated with circular economy principles.

*Construction Sustainability*

- 27.8% of the respondents consider sustainability to be very important, and 30.6% consider it highly important.
- While a large part of the industry accepts sustainability as an important aspect of problem-solving, it sometimes suffers from having problems applying such practices.
- 47.2% of the respondents agree that construction works are enhanced by the combination of BIM with circular economy principles.

*Barriers to the effective application of BIM in the circular economy*

- The largest obstacle, as seen by 44.4% of participants, is lack of time.
- Twenty-two percent of the participants mentioned limited knowledge and cost limitations as problems.
- Beyond doubt, the effective use of BIM for sustainability purposes is still hindered by the absence of expertise.

*Cutting down on material waste techniques*

- Amongst those polled, 52.8% recommended material recycling and reuse as a common facility waste reduction method.

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507038 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

- In spite of the fact that site waste management regulations are adopted in 50% of all cases, it has been established that only 38.9% of the respondents complied with them after becoming aware of them.
- BIM proves useful in material management, 36.1% of respondents said, and extremely useful, 38.9% said.
- 33.3% of the respondents acknowledged knowing Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), showing a moderate level of familiarity with it.

*Blending aesthetics with structural integrity*

- 66.7% prioritize durability and structural strength before visual appeal.
- Despite the adoption of green accredited construction practices, one of the biggest IGBC Platinum rated projects in Mumbai has experienced its initial level of sustainability going down in a matter of months, requiring improved material choices.
- Interviews and case studies have revealed that a sole focus on aesthetics can jeopardize durability over time and project cost.

*Other industry opportunities for BIM implementation*

- Out of the people polled, 41.7% believe that the construction sector will likely adopt BIM with circular economy principles within the next five to ten years, and 30.6% are neutral.
- Other reasons given to support the use of BIM by the respondents are enhanced awareness about benefits related to sustainability, reported by 66.7% of respondents.
- 52.8% of the respondents mentioned skill improvement and training.
- 47.2% of respondents proposed government regulations and incentives.

### 5.1 Key observations

- *Structural durability problems:* Even though they are certified sustainable, the lifespan of the structures has allegedly been reduced by approximately 35%, and wear has started to show on these built structures.
- *Cost-quality:* Excessive elevation overrun (20% of project cost) led to loss of quality in one research setting. Lowering elevation costs to 5–7% and enhancing material quality would be an improved trade-off.
- *Project waste reduction methods:* In the aspect of material waste management, Mivan shuttering and ready-mix plastering operations have proven effective.
- *Focus shift on project planning:* Project Managers interviewed indicated that the emphasis of project planning has shifted from aesthetics to structural integrity due to complaints of poor quality from clients.
- *Decisions with BIM:* The process of utilizing BIM itself would improve lifecycle analysis, enabling separation of various building elements on the basis of importance to make greener decisions.

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507038 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

### 5.2 Key learnings

- *BIM training and awareness are important:* The ability of BIM to solve problems related to the circular economy can be undermined by training and awareness among BIM practitioners and scholars because of a lack of technical skills and awareness.
- *Selection of early design sets will change lifecycle performance:* Product durability must be the priority for projects in terms of design set selection to enhance service life and reduce repair costs.
- *Perhaps it will perform more effectively if done more frequently:* Techniques such as ready-mix plaster, prefabricated assemblies, and computerized design systems will promote such increased utilization efficiency.
- *Lifecycle assessment must be integrated into BIM workflows:* More frequent use of LCA in BIM would enhance material selection and design sustainability.
- *Regulatory and incentives support would drive adoption:* Government incentives have to consist of a common code for sustainability as well as a rational material taxonomy to allow extensive application by practitioners for most uses of BIM within circular economy methods.

This study demonstrates how BIM can be an integral part of sustainable construction with greater awareness, industry-wide training, and improved regulatory support.

## 6.0 Conclusion

How Building Information Modeling (BIM) can enhance circular economy (CE) practices and transform the construction industry is emphasized in this study. As per the principles of CE, BIM presents a robust digital platform that makes material optimization, waste minimization, and life cycle analysis easier. Through collaborative platforms, end-of-life (EOL) management features, and predictive modeling software, BIM allows stakeholders to make informed decisions that ensure resource efficiency and sustainability. Despite its promise, barriers such as a shortage of standardization, poor databases, and poor stakeholder awareness have hindered the complete implementation of CE principles in BIM workflows. Practical implementation in real projects is lacking, even though many studies provide theoretical frameworks and pilot tests. More robust legislative frameworks and regulatory incentives are also required to support the widespread use of BIM for circularity.

The findings emphasize the importance of advanced BIM-compatible tools that utilize CE principles such as lifecycle analytics, material recovery analysis, and computational waste prediction. It will take stakeholder coordination, supported by BIM's centralized abilities, to overcome construction industry fragmentation and achieve shared sustainability objectives. In conclusion, BIM is essential in enabling CE practices within the building sector. As technology advancements, governmental support, and stakeholder participation increase, BIM can help transform the building sector into a circular and sustainable one. Future studies should focus on

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507038 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

overcoming existing barriers and developing scalable, feasible solutions to implement CE principles effectively into BIM processes.

**References**

Akanbi, L. A., Oyedele, L. O., Akinade, O. O., Ajayi, A. O., Delgado, M. D., Bilal, M., & Bello, S. A. (2018). Salvaging building materials in a circular economy: A BIM-based whole-life performance estimator. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 129*, 175–186.

Akanbi, L. A., Oyedele, L. O., Omoteso, K., Bilal, M., Akinade, O. O., Ajayi, A. O., Delgado, J. M. D., & Owolabi, H. A. (2019). Disassembly and deconstruction analytics system (D-DAS) for construction in a circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 223*, 386–396.

Akinade, O. O., & Oyedele, L. O. (2019). Integrating construction supply chains within a circular economy: An ANFIS-based waste analytics system (A-WAS). *Journal of Cleaner Production, 229*, 863–873.

Benachio, G. L. F., Freitas, M. D. C. D., & Tavares, S. F. (2020). Circular economy in the construction industry: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 260*, 121046.

Cerovsek, T. (2011). A review and outlook for a 'Building Information Model' (BIM): A multi-standpoint framework for technological development. *Advanced Engineering Informatics, 25*(2), 224–244.

Charef, R. (2022). The use of Building Information Modelling in the circular economy context: Several models and a new dimension of BIM (8D). *Cleaner Engineering and Technology, 7*, 100414.

Charef, R., & Emmitt, S. (2021). Uses of building information modelling for overcoming barriers to a circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 285*, 124854.

Charef, R., & Lu, W. (2021). Factor dynamics to facilitate circular economy adoption in construction. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 319*, 128639.

Charef, R., Morel, J. C., & Rakhshan, K. (2021). Barriers to implementing the circular economy in the construction industry: A critical review. *Sustainability, 13*(23), 12989.

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507038 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

Chen, Q., Feng, H., & de Soto, B. G. (2022). Revamping construction supply chain processes with circular economy strategies: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 335*, 130240.

Copeland, S., & Bilec, M. (2020). Buildings as material banks using RFID and building information modeling in a circular economy. *Procedia CIRP, 90*, 143–147.

Ding, L., Zhou, Y., & Akinci, B. (2014). Building Information Modeling (BIM) application framework: The process of expanding from 3D to computable nD. *Automation in Construction, 46*, 82–93.

Ganah, A., & John, G. A. (2015). Integrating building information modeling and health and safety for onsite construction. *Safety and Health at Work, 6*(1), 39–45.

Hossain, M. U., Ng, S. T., Antwi-Afari, P., & Amor, B. (2020). Circular economy and the construction industry: Existing trends, challenges and prospective framework for sustainable construction. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 130*, 109948.

Irizarry, J., & Karan, E. P. (2012). Optimizing location of tower cranes on construction sites through GIS and BIM integration. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction (ITcon), 17*, 351–366.

Irizarry, J., Karan, E. P., & Jalaei, F. (2013). Integrating BIM and GIS to improve the visual monitoring of construction supply chain management. *Automation in Construction, 31*, 241–254.

Kaner, I., Sacks, R., Kassian, W., & Quitt, T. (2008). Case studies of BIM adoption for precast concrete design by mid-sized structural engineering firms. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction (ITcon), 13*, 303–323.

Lei, H., Li, L., Yang, W., Bian, Y., & Li, C. Q. (2021). An analytical review on application of life cycle assessment in circular economy for built environment. *Journal of Building Engineering, 44*, 103374.

Minunno, R., O'Grady, T., Morrison, G. M., Gruner, R. L., & Colling, M. (2018). Strategies for applying the circular economy to prefabricated buildings. *Buildings, 8*(9), 125.

*DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507038* *ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4*

Munaro, M. R., Tavares, S. F., & Bragança, L. (2020). Towards circular and more sustainable buildings: A systematic literature review on the circular economy in the built environment. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 260*, 121134.

O'Grady, T. M., Brajkovich, N., Minunno, R., Chong, H. Y., & Morrison, G. M. (2021). Circular economy and virtual reality in advanced BIM-based prefabricated construction. *Energies, 14*(13), 4065.

Rahla, K. M., Mateus, R., & Bragança, L. (2021). Implementing circular economy strategies in buildings—from theory to practice. *Applied System Innovation, 4*(2), 26.

Ruiz, L. A. L., Ramón, X. R., & Domingo, S. G. (2020). The circular economy in the construction and demolition waste sector – A review and an integrative model approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 248*, 119238.

Sacks, R., Koskela, L., Dave, B. A., & Owen, R. (2010). Interaction of lean and building information modeling in construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 136*(9), 968–980.

Succar, B., & Kassem, M. (2015). Macro-BIM adoption: Conceptual structures. *Automation in Construction, 57*, 64–79.

Wang, J., Zhang, X., Shou, W., Wang, X., Xu, B., Kim, M. J., & Wu, P. (2015). A BIM-based approach for automated tower crane layout planning. *Automation in Construction, 59*, 168–178.

Xue, K., Hossain, M. U., Liu, M., Ma, M., Zhang, Y., Hu, M., Chen, X., & Cao, G. (2021). BIM integrated LCA for promoting circular economy towards sustainable construction: An analytical review. *Sustainability, 13*(3), 1310.

Yu, Y., Yazan, D. M., Bhochhibhoya, S., & Volker, L. (2021). Towards circular economy through industrial symbiosis in the Dutch construction industry: A case of recycled concrete aggregates. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 293*, 126083.

Yu, Y., Yazan, D. M., Junjan, V., & Iacob, M. E. (2022). Circular economy in the construction industry: A review of decision support tools based on Information & Communication Technologies. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 349*, 131335.

Zhang, S., Teizer, J., Lee, J. K., Eastman, C. M., & Venugopal, M. (2013). Building information modeling (BIM) and safety: Automatic safety checking of construction models and schedules. *Automation in Construction, 29*, 183–195.

*DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507038* *ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4*