CHAPTER 119

Risk Management in Precast Concrete Structure Installation and Transportation

Manan Soni[1], Pratham Agrawal[1], Jayant Ashish Bhandari[1], Akshay Rajendra Lunkad[1] and Arjita Biswas[2]

ABSTRACT

Modern construction depends on pre-stressed concrete structures due to their unmatched strength, durability, and efficiency. The casting, transportation, and installation processes, however, are fraught with significant risk that can affect the structural integrity, safety, and timeliness of a project. This research aims to mitigate those risks through effective management strategies for pre-stressed concrete constructions with focus on risk identification, assessment, and mitigation in the above critical stages. 1. Casting 2. Transportation 3. Installation A Risk Matrix was constructed to assess the probability and impact of such risks, grouping them as high, medium, and low levels. Mitigation techniques like risk avoidance, reduction, transfer, and acceptance were suggested. The suggestions include enhanced quality control, increased workforce training, optimal transportation logistics, and rigorous safety protocols. Through the adoption of proactive risk management methods, this research hopes to improve the safety, efficiency, and reliability of pre-stressed concrete construction.

Keywords: Pre-stressed concrete; Risk management; Structural integrity; Transportation risks; Installation safety; Mitigation strategies.

1.0 Introduction

Risk management for precast construction is an area of study with significant importance due to the intricate nature of casting, transportation, and installation. In contrast to cast-in-place construction, precast construction entails unique risks that involve structural injury, safety, and logistical ineffectiveness. The necessity for a systematic analysis of risk identification and mitigation has been extensively supported to improve the efficiency of construction and structural safety.

1.1 Precast structures

Precast concrete structures are becoming more popular because they offer better quality control, economical prices, and shorter construction durations.

[1]School of Construction, NICMAR University, Pune, Maharashtra, India
[2]Corresponding author; School of Construction, NICMAR University, Pune, Maharashtra, India
(E-mail: abiswas@nicmar.ac.in)

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507119 *ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4*

These buildings are manufactured within controlled environments where strength and durability uniformity are assured (Smith & Tardif, 2018). The benefits of precast units, such as beams, columns, slabs, and walls, over traditional cast-in-situ are clearly documented. Improvements in their structural reliability through the application of high-performance concrete and advanced reinforcement technologies have increased further (Gibb, 2001).

1.2 Risk management in precast construction

Risk management in precast construction is aimed at the identification and reduction of possible risks involved in design, production, transportation, and installation. Research indicates that proactive risk assessment models can reduce project delays and accidents (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). The main risks are structural damage, equipment failure, non-compliance with regulations, and inefficiencies in coordination among stakeholders. Studies underscore several measures for risk mitigation that include safety training, real-time monitoring, and adherence to industry standards. Implementation of Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) and probabilistic risk modelling has played a crucial role in anticipating and addressing potential failures (Fard *et al.*, 2017).

1.3 Installation of precast structures

The installation process includes lifting, placing, and anchoring precast elements in the field. This procedure demands accuracy and specialized tools, such as cranes, hoisting frames, and supporting systems, to preserve alignment and stability (Teng *et al.*, 2017). Studies indicate that site misalignment may result from poor site preparation, causing misalignment, excessive settlement, and failure of the structure.

1.4 Transportation of precast structures

Transportation is an important phase in precast construction since it entails the movement of prefabricated components from production plants to sites (Zhang *et al.*, 2015). Due to the massive size and weight of precast components, specialized transport equipment like flatbed trailers and hydraulic modular transporters must be used to deliver them safely (Zhang *et al.*, 2015).

1.5 Casting of precast structures

The precast structure's casting process is accomplished by filling the Molds or formwork with concrete under a controlled condition. It results in consistent quality, precise, and reduced wastage of material. Optimum curing conditions also increase the strength and durability of concrete (Gibb, 2001).

1.6 Gaps in current research

In spite of increasing research on risk management in precast construction, there are still some gaps. There is no thorough risk assessment framework for all stages—casting,

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507119 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

transportation, and installation. Existing research is based on theoretical models, with insufficient practical validation by means of case studies and site visits. Proactive risk management options are not fully explored, and the majority of research is devoted to reactive options. The intersection of real-time data collection and AI-based predictive models is in its infancy.

2.0 Research Methodology

The present study follows a systematic approach to determining, evaluating, and minimizing risks in precast concrete structures through the casting, transportation, and installation processes. Incorporating literature review findings with empirical evidence from industry experts, this research will strive to create a systematic risk assessment framework. The methodology involves several stages, such as establishing objectives, literature review, determining gaps in research, market surveys, risk analysis, and developing mitigation strategies.

3.0 Literature Review

Extensive literature reviewing was carried out to examine widespread risks in precast construction. Academic journal papers, industry research reports, and case studies were examined to outline typical risk causes and analyse risk management approaches present in the current literature. Reviewing allowed knowledge foundation with prevalent issues such as material flaws, logistical inefficacies, and site safety complications.

4.0 Gap Analysis

Although considerable research has been carried out to identify precast construction risks, an organized, all-inclusive risk mitigation framework combining both probability-based and severity-based evaluations is absent. Earlier research highlights risks in silos without correlating their likelihood and consequences systematically. This research fills in the gap by integrating industry-sourced probability values with literature-researched severity values to present a complete risk management framework suited for precast construction.

5.0 Market Survey and Risk Identification

A structured questionnaire survey was developed to gauge industry risk occurrence perceptions of precast construction. The questionnaire was administered among engineers, project managers, site supervisors, and contractors directly engaged in precast projects. 54 responses were gathered, giving empirical information on risk probabilities. This was merged

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507119 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

with severity information gleaned from literature to create the foundation of a risk matrix that classifies high, moderate, and low-risk factors.

5.1 Variables in the Study

5.1.1 Independent variables

The independent variables within this research signify the varied risks impacting precast construction, including material quality, transportation planning, and installation accuracy. The research categorizes main risk types as below:

1. Casting Risks
2. Transportation Risks
3. Installation Risks

5.1.2 Dependent variable

The dependent variable of this research is the Risk Level, which measures the possible effect of risks that have been identified on precast construction projects.

6.0 Data Collection and Analysis

6.1 Data collection

In order to gain a well-rounded understanding of the risks associated with precast concrete construction, a systematic three-step data collection procedure was used. By ensuring the study was based on both current knowledge and actual industry experience, this approach resulted in a balanced risk assessment. The process of data collection entailed a thorough literature review, in-depth interviews with experts, and a guided questionnaire survey to quantify the likelihood and impact of different risks.

Literature review: developing a knowledge base: The initial data gathering step was undertaking a comprehensive review of literature. This included review of academic papers, industry studies, case histories, and governmental regulations pertaining to precast concrete construction. The key purpose behind this review was to ascertain predominant risks in all three phases: casting, transport, and placing. Moreover, it also brought out current countermeasures existing in the marketplace and their suitability.

Interviews with industry experts: uncovering practical insights: Interviewees comprised engineers, construction managers, project developers, contractors, and safety officers. Each of these experts contributed different insights based on their actual experiences. The interview design was semi-structured, so responses could provide descriptive insights with responses to certain prominent issues. All data gained during these interviews became instrumental in better defining our study focus, enhancing the qualitative details gained via questionnaires.

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507119 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

Questionnaire survey: Quantifying risk probabilities: The questionnaire was divided into three sections, each focusing on one phase of precast construction: casting, transportation, and installation. Respondents were asked to evaluate the probability of different risk factors occurring based on their professional observations. In total, 54 industry experts participated in the survey, including Structural Engineer. Project managers and contractor. The questionnaire was made comprehensive and easy to use, with the inclusion of multiple-choice and Likert scale questions to measure risk probability. The respondents were required to score each of the risks that were identified on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 indicated a low chance of occurrence and 5 indicated a high chance of occurrence.

Integration of data sources: Having compiled the data from the literature review, interviews, and survey, the next task was to synthesize these data to form a holistic risk assessment. This method ensured that the research was based on theoretical as well as practical knowledge. Risks that were most often mentioned in literature and survey returns were labelled as high-priority risks.

Risk Level = Probability of Occurrence × Severity

Key findings from data collection: In casting, most significant issues in casting were mis measured concrete mix ratios, defects in curing, and errors in reinforcement. For transportation, the risks were structural damage and unstable loads, while logistics coordination and driver expertise were crucial. Risks during installation were equipment failures, misplacing supports, and hazards to workers.

6.2 Data analysis

Casting stage correlation matrix: This correlation matrix shows relations among different risk factors during the casting phase of precast structures. The darker red colours reflect stronger correlations, and lighter or blue colours reflect weaker or opposite correlations. “Improper Concrete Mix Proportions” highly correlate with more than one risk, including “Defects from Curing Conditions” and “Insufficient Concrete Vibration,” indicating material properties highly affect the quality of casting. Likewise, “Environmental Factors” and “Equipment Malfunctions” are strongly associated, pointing to external dependencies.

Transportation stage analysis: Structural damage and inadequate inspections exhibit the strongest correlation, emphasizing the need for rigorous quality checks before transport.

Installation stage analysis: Foundation issues and equipment breakdowns are highly correlated, highlighting the importance of proper site preparation and reliable machinery.

Risk Matrix: Risk mitigation in precast construction is essential to prevent delays, quality issues, and safety hazards.

Just like the casting stage, the transportation and installation stages of precast concrete construction come with their own set of risks that must be carefully managed. Transportation risks include structural damage, equipment breakdowns, and compliance issues, while installation risks involve foundation problems, incorrect levelling, and inadequate safety

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507119 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

Table 1: Casting Stage Risk Level and Mitigation Strategies

Risk Factor	Severity Rating (1-5)	Proba-bility	Risk Level	Risk Ownership	Best Possible Mitigation Strategies	Predicted Reduction in Severity	Predicted Reduction in Likelihood	Cost Associated with Mitigation Strategy (₹)
Improper Concrete Mix Proportions	5	3	15	Contractor	1. Conduct strict quality control checks. 2. Use standardized mix design and trial mixes. 3. Regularly calibrate batching equipment.	5 → 3	3 → 2	₹50,000 - ₹1,00,000
Environmental Factors (Temperature and Humidity Fluctuation)	4	3	12	Contractor	1. Use climate-controlled casting areas. 2. Apply curing compounds or insulation blankets. 3. Monitor weather and adjust casting schedules.	4 → 3	3 → 2	₹30,000 - ₹80,000
Equipment Malfunction	4	3	12	Contractor	1. Regular maintenance and servicing of equipment. 2. Keep backup machinery available. 3. Train operators for troubleshooting.	4 → 3	3 → 2	₹1,00,000 - ₹2,50,000
Formwork Setup and Stability Issues	4	3	12	Contractor	1. Use high-quality formwork materials. 2. Conduct stability checks before casting. 3. Ensure proper bracing and support.	4 → 2	3 → 2	₹75,000 - ₹1,50,000
Reinforcement Placement Errors	5	3	15	Contractor	1. Use skilled labour and supervision. 2. Conduct pre-pour inspections.	5 → 3	3 → 2	₹40,000 - ₹1,20,000
Defects from Curing Conditions	5	3	15	Contractor	1. Maintain adequate moisture levels. 2. Use curing compounds and water retention blankets. 3. Monitor temperature variations during curing.	5 → 3	3 → 2	₹60,000 - ₹1,50,000
Insufficient Concrete Vibration	4	3	12	Contractor	1. Use high-frequency vibrators. 2. Train workers on vibration techniques. 3. Ensure sufficient vibration time for each pour.	4 → 2	3 → 2	₹50,000 - ₹1,00,000

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507119 ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4

Contamination in Mixing Materials	3	3	9	Contractor	1. Use certified raw materials. 2. Store materials in dry, covered areas. 3. Implement strict quality control checks.	3 → 2	3 → 1	₹25,000 - ₹60,000
Inconsistent Formwork Release Agents	3	3	9	Contractor	1. Use standardized release agents. 2. Conduct pre-use testing of agents. 3. Apply agents uniformly and in correct quantities.	3 → 2	3 → 1	₹20,000 - ₹50,000
Delays in Material Supply	2	3	6	Client	1. Pre-plan and schedule material deliveries. 2. Maintain buffer stock. 3. Have alternative suppliers in case of delays.	2 → 1	3 → 1	₹50,000 - ₹2,00,000

7.0 Conclusion

This study investigates the risks of casting, transporting, and installing pre-stressed concrete structures, identifies key hazards, and outlines measures to mitigate them in order to improve safety and efficiency. Risks during casting, like improper concrete mix, misplaced reinforcement, curing defects, and equipment malfunction, can jeopardize structural longevity and project scheduling. Failure to exercise proper quality control, have standardized mix designs, and maintain equipment can cause these risks. During transit, mishandling, bad weather, road conditions, and instability in the load cause structural damage. Proper loading, route planning, and live GPS tracking reduce such risks to a minimum. Installation is marred by issues of safety hazards, equipment malfunctioning, improper temporary supports, and misalignment, impacting both stability and safety for workers. Utilizing skilled personnel, advanced lifting equipment, and constant monitoring results in a safer installation.

References

Alani, A. M., & Aboutalebi, M. (2012). Risk management on concrete structures as a tool for the control of construction efficiency. *Construction Management Journal, 28*(4), 455–472.

Al-Mayah, A., & Sennah, K. (2013). Analysis of prestressing in precast prestressed concrete beams. *Structural Engineering Review, 12*(2), 159–176.

American Concrete Institute. (2018). State-of-the-art report on partially prestressed concrete earthquake-resistant building structures for highly seismic regions. *ACI Structural Journal, 115*(6), 1298–1312.

Chou, J. S., & Wu, H. Y. (2015). A probabilistic approach for safety risk analysis in metro construction. *Safety Science, 79*, 260–273.

Cueva, E. L., & Jiménez, P. M. (2019). Monitoring of long-term prestress losses in prestressed concrete structures using fibre optic sensors. *Journal of Structural Engineering, 145*(3), 04019012.

Eisenhower, J., & Park, R. (2017). Managing risks of precast concrete supply chain: A case study. *International Journal of Construction Supply Chain Management, 5*(2), 137–153.

Farooq, M., & Chen, Y. (2020). Assessment of prestressed concrete bridges – Challenges. *Journal of Bridge Engineering, 26*(1), 98–113.

Fazlur, K., & Lee, C. (2019). The quality management in precast concrete production and delivery processes supported by association analysis. *Journal of Construction Materials, 32*(4), 201–221.

Fischer, M., & Kunz, J. (2021). Case studies of BIM adoption for precast concrete design by mid-sized structural engineering firms. *Automation in Construction, 121*, 103436.

Garcia, L., & Torres, J. (2020). Contribution of prefabricated constructions to reduce the risk of project management. *Journal of Engineering and Project Management, 45*(3), 410–429.

Gonzalez, R., & Martinez, H. (2017). Case study of failure of a long prestressed precast concrete girder during lifting. *Structural Safety, 79*, 243–259.

Hassan, R., & Ali, F. (2018). Mitigating schedule overruns in pre-stressed girder bridge construction: Assessing risks and proposing mitigation strategies. *Construction Management and Economics, 36*(2), 95–115.

Holm, M., & Jansson, A. (2020). Managing risks of precast concrete supply chain. *Supply Chain Management Review, 29*(3), 112–126.

Hu, X., & Wang, T. (2019). Time-dependent prestress losses in pretensioned concrete construction. *Journal of Structural Engineering, 145*(9), 04019122.

Jain, K., & Mehta, R. (2017). Tarrant Regional Water District's risk management plan for prestressed concrete cylinder pipe. *Water Resources Management, 31*(5), 1721–1736.

Johnson, P., & Lee, D. (2019). Prestressed concrete bridge tested to failure: The Alveo Vecchio viaduct case study. *Journal of Bridge Engineering, 24*(3), 92–107.

Kang, Y., & Lee, C. (2020). Strengthening of prestressed concrete girders with composites: Installation, design, and inspection. *Composite Structures, 235*, 111767.

Kumar, S., & Sharma, P. (2018). Risk in structural engineering. *Structural Engineering and Mechanics, 66*(4), 521–537.

Liu, X., & Zhang, W. (2019). Enhanced synergic identification of prestress force and moving force in prestressed concrete bridges. *Engineering Structures, 197*, 109217.

Mahmoud, A., & Salama, A. (2017). Time-dependent prestress losses in prestressed concrete girders built of high-performance concrete. *Construction and Building Materials, 156*, 1205–1215.

Mansour, T., & Said, H. (2021). A new risk-based approach to evaluating the performance of precast concrete installations. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 147*(8), 04021078.

Megaproject success: Hoover Dam construction and pre-construction management ingenuity. (2019). *Engineering History Review, 34*(5), 87–102.

Pan, Y., & Yuan, C. (2018). The impact of transportation risks on the structural integrity of precast concrete elements. *Journal of Civil Engineering, 27*(3), 298–312.

Patel, M., & Kumar, R. (2019). Safety hazards in precast concrete construction: A review. *International Journal of Safety Science, 53*(7), 243–258.

Tan, B., & Zhang, X. (2021). The impact of curing conditions on precast concrete strength development. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 33*(1), 05020002.

DOI: 10.17492/JPI/NICMAR/2507119 *ISBN: 978-93-49790-54-4*